

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | |
|--|------|
| COVER..... | i |
| APPROVAL | ii |
| VALIDATION..... | iii |
| STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY..... | iv |
| MOTTO AND DEDICATION | v |
| ABSTRACT..... | vi |
| INTI SARI | vii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | viii |
| TABLE OF CONTENT | ix |
| LIST OF TABLES | xii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xiii |
| CHAPTER I..... | 1 |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background of Study..... | 1 |
| 1.2 Reasons for Choosing the Topic | 4 |
| 1.3 Statements of the Problem (Research Question)..... | 5 |
| 1.4 Objectives of the Study | 6 |
| 1.5 Limitation of Study | 6 |
| 1.6 Significance of Study | 6 |
| 1.7 Definition of Key Terms | 7 |
| 1.8 Outline of Study | 8 |
| CHAPTER II..... | 9 |
| REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE | 9 |
| 2.1 Reading Comprehension | 9 |
| 2.2 Factors Affecting Reading Comprehension | 10 |
| 1) Reading Motivation..... | 10 |
| 2) Reading Strategy | 11 |
| 3) Reading Engagement..... | 12 |
| 2.3 Models of Reading Comprehension | 13 |
| 1) Multi-Component Model..... | 13 |
| 2) Construction-Integration Model..... | 14 |

| | | |
|----------------------|--|----|
| 3) | Constructively Responsive Reading Model | 15 |
| 2.4 | Student-Centered Learning (SCL) | 16 |
| 2.5 | Elements in Student-Centered Learning | 17 |
| 2.6 | The Principles of SCL | 18 |
| 2.7 | SCL and TCL in Comparison | 20 |
| 2.8 | The Roles in SCL | 21 |
| 1) | The Role of Students | 22 |
| 2) | The Role of Teacher | 22 |
| 2.9 | Advantages and Disadvantages of SCL | 23 |
| 1) | Advantages for students | 23 |
| 2) | Advantages for Teacher | 24 |
| 1) | Disadvantages for Students | 24 |
| 2) | Disadvantages for Teacher | 25 |
| 2.10 | Teaching Strategies of SGL | 25 |
| 2.11 | Previous Studies | 26 |
| CHAPTER III | | 29 |
| RESEARCH METHOD..... | | 29 |
| 3.1 | Research Design..... | 29 |
| 3.2 | Research Location | 30 |
| 3.3 | Subject of the Study | 30 |
| 3.4 | Research Instruments | 30 |
| a. | Test..... | 30 |
| b. | Questionnaire | 31 |
| 3.5 | Validity of the Instruments..... | 31 |
| | Expert Validation Checklist for Questionnaire | 32 |
| | Validator's Questionnaire Assessment..... | 33 |
| | Expert Validation Checklist for Test Instrument..... | 35 |
| 3.6 | Reliability of the Instruments..... | 39 |
| 3.7 | Technique of doing Action Research | 39 |
| 3.7.1 | Cycle 1 | 40 |
| 1. | Planning | 40 |
| 2. | Action | 42 |
| 3. | Observation | 44 |

| | | |
|--|--|----|
| 4. | Reflection..... | 44 |
| 3.7.2 | Cycle 2..... | 44 |
| 3.8 | Data Analysis | 45 |
| 3.9 | Grading the Items of the Questionnaire | 47 |
| CHAPTER IV | | 49 |
| ANALYSIS OF RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | | 49 |
| 4.1 | Profile of the School..... | 49 |
| 4.2 | Analysis of Cycles..... | 50 |
| 4.2.1 | Pre-Cycle..... | 50 |
| 4.2.2 | Cycle 1 | 52 |
| 4.2.2.1 | Plan of Cycle 1 | 52 |
| 4.2.2.2 | Activities of Cycle 1 | 53 |
| 4.2.2.3 | Reflection of Cycle 1 | 57 |
| 4.2.3 | Cycle 2 | 57 |
| 4.2.3.1 | Plan of Cycle 2..... | 58 |
| 4.2.3.2 | Activities of Cycle 2 | 59 |
| 4.2.3.3 | Reflection of Cycle 2 | 65 |
| 4.3 | Result of Validity | 65 |
| 4.4 | Result of Reliability | 65 |
| 4.5 | Analysis of the Students' Scores..... | 66 |
| 4.6 | Analysis of Questionnaires..... | 68 |
| 4.7 | Discussion | 70 |
| CHAPTER V | | 72 |
| CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS..... | | 72 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion..... | 72 |
| 5.2 | Suggestions..... | 72 |
| REFERENCES | | 73 |
| APPENDICES | | 77 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| <i>Table 2. 1: Ten Elements of SCL and Brief Explanation</i> | 17 |
| <i>Table 2. 2: Comparison of Student-centered and Teacher-centered Paradigm</i> | 20 |
| <i>Table 3. 1: The data of the students</i> | 30 |
| <i>Table 3. 2: Criteria of expert validation checklist of questionnaire</i> | 32 |
| <i>Table 3. 3: Expert assessment of questionnaire</i> | 33 |
| <i>Table 3. 4: Expert validation checklist of test</i> | 35 |
| <i>Table 3. 5: Plan of action research</i> | 41 |
| <i>Table 3. 6: Action of action research</i> | 42 |
| <i>Table 3. 7: Steps cycle 2 of action research</i> | 45 |
| <i>Table 3. 8: Grading the items of questionnaire</i> | 48 |
| <i>Table 4. 1: Mean of pretest pre-cycle</i> | 51 |
| <i>Table 4. 2: Plan of cycle 1</i> | 52 |
| <i>Table 4. 3: Mean of posttest cycle 1</i> | 56 |
| <i>Table 4. 4: Plan of cycle 2</i> | 58 |
| <i>Table 4. 5: Mean of posttest cycle 2</i> | 64 |
| <i>Table 4. 6: Mean of all tests</i> | 66 |
| <i>Table 4. 7: Result of questionnaire</i> | 68 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|----|
| <i>Figure 3. 1: Concept of action research (Kemmis and McTaggart 1988 Cited in Mcniff, 2002)</i> | 40 |
| <i>Figure 4. 1: Students do pretest pre-cycle</i> | 50 |
| <i>Figure 4. 2: Students do discussion</i> | 54 |
| <i>Figure 4. 3: Students do posttest cycle 1</i> | 56 |
| <i>Figure 4. 4: Students do discussion</i> | 60 |
| <i>Figure 4. 5: Students make a resume</i> | 61 |
| <i>Figure 4. 6: Result of the resume</i> | 62 |
| <i>Figure 4. 7: Result of the resume</i> | 62 |
| <i>Figure 4. 8: Students do posttest cycle 2</i> | 63 |